Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/782,316	ENDRES ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Emmanuel Bayard	2611	
	10/782,316 Examiner	10/782,316 ENDRES ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

	Emmanuel Bayard	2611		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further composition. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the composition of the properties. 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	TE below);		
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	11 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (I	OTOL -324)	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / inchanton (i	TOL OLT.	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmen	t canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an ex	planation of	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
Improvements to the solution of the solution of the affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a	
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.	
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
	/Emmanuel Bayard/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2611		

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The laimed limitations are met by Scarpa therefore this case stand rejected as stated in the final office action.